

Mechanical Vapor Compression

Motivation: mechanical vapor compression (MVC) is an efficient and electrified thermal desalination process that can achieve high water recoveries

Unit models: preheater heat exchangers, evaporator, compressor, and condenser

Key properties: vapor depression/boiling point elevation in evaporator, vapor properties for the compressor and condenser

Additional configurations: multi-stage, brine recirculation

Compressor **Evaporator** /\ /\ /\

Cost-optimization of MVC treating seawater

Costing: evaporator material factor is a linear function of the brine salinity to reflect increased cost of corrosion and scaling resistant materials

Input parameters: evaporator and preheater overall heat transfer coefficients, compressor and pump efficiencies, maximum evaporator temperature (75°C) shown)

Decision variables: preheater areas, evaporator area, evaporator temperature and pressure, compressor pressure ratio

Results: LCOW, SEC, product flux per evaporator area, and pressure ratio

Α		LCOW (\$/r				
Water recovery (%)	80 -	4.3	5.6			
	75 -	4.0	5.1			
		3.9		5.		
	65 -	3.8	4.6	5.3		
	60 -	3.7	4.4	5.3		
	55 -	3.6	4.3	4.9		
	50 -	3.6	4.2	4.8		
			4.2			
	40 -	3.6	4.2	4.		
		25 Fee	50 d co			
C Product flux ove						
	80 -	102	134			
(%)	75 -	95	122			
	70 -	91	114	13		
er Z	65 -		108	12		

		Feed conce			
		2 5	50	75	
	40 -		99	11	
			99		
Wat	50 -	84	100	11	
er re	55 -	85	108 104 101 100	11	
BCOV	60 -	86	104	12	
ery	65 -	88	108	12	
(%)	70 -	91	114	13	
	75 -	95	122		
	80 -	102	134		

Contact: Elmira Shamlou, elmira.shamlou@netl.doe.gov

Disclaimer This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof

Acknowledgment: This material is based upon work supported by the National Alliance for Water Innovation (NAWI), funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE), Industrial Efficiency & Decarbonization Office, under Funding Opportunity Announcement Number DE-FOA-0001905.







Evaporative Processes in WaterTAP

Elmira Shamlou^{a,b}, Carson Tucker^c ^aNational Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), ^bNETL Support Contractor, ^cDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Stanford University





membrane.



Brine recirculation

*The single-pass DCMD model is currently available in WaterTAP, with other configurations and operation modes still in development.

Membrane Distillation Modeling Approach

- > 0-D: Module behavior via inlet-outlet properties > 1-D: Finite difference discretization-lengthwise
- > Boundary layer modeling: Concentration and temperature polarization
- > Core mass/energy balance equations:
- Vapor flux
- Conductive heat
- Convective heat





Membrane Distillation

Process overview: Membrane Distillation (MD) leverages the temperature gradient-induced vapor pressure differential across a hydrophobic

MD configurations vary by vapor collection and condensation method:



Membrane Distillation Operation Modes

Single pass recovery in MD is typically low. Methods to enhance Recovery:



 $J = B(P_f - P_p) \text{ and } q_v = h_{fg}J$ $q_m = \frac{\kappa}{s} \left(T_{fm} - T_{pm} \right)$ $q_{f} = h_{f}(T_{f} - T_{fm})$ and $q_{p} = h_{p}(T_{pm} - T_{p})$







