



Institute for the Design of Advanced Energy Systems

Advancing the State of the Art in Expansion Planning for the California Grid in Partnership with IDAES

Seolhee Cho¹, Christopher McLean², Benjamin Omell³

October 11th, 2023

¹ Carnegie Mellon University, ² California Energy Commission, ³ National Energy Technology Laboratory

Sandia

National

aboratories







Presentation Overview

Provide details of the IDAES collaboration with California Energy Commission (CEC)

- What is Expansion Planning, why is it challenging, and why is IDAES working on it?
- Problems facing the CEC in meeting clean energy goals
- Case study of San Diego County



Presentation Overview

Provide details of the IDAES collaboration with California Energy Commission (CEC)

- What is Expansion Planning, why is it challenging, and why is IDAES working on it?
- Problems facing the CEC in meeting clean energy goals
- Case study of San Diego County



Expansion Planning and Why it is Hard

- What is a capacity expansion model? Determining lease cost deployment of technologies to meet future load demand over multi-decade horizons in a region (state, ISO/RTO, nationwide)
 - What technologies/ designs deployed, when and where?
 - What generators will be retired, renewed and what technologies are phased out?
- At the core, an expansion planning model considers
 - Systems with $>10^2$ generators, $>10^3$ transmission lines,
 - Balancing loads over each of 10^6 time periods,
 - With numerous opportunities to install, extend, and retire assets,
 - And significant uncertainty in all parameters (generator costs, available technology, load growth and patterns, renewable resources),
- Too large to "directly solve"
- Numerous simplifications and approximations to develop "tractable" models which will impact accuracy
 - ACOFP → DCOPF → Transshipment
 - Full network \rightarrow "skeletonized" network \rightarrow "copper plate"
 - Individual generators \rightarrow generator clusters
 - Full time horizon \rightarrow representative days \rightarrow representative loads
 - Discrete decisions \rightarrow continuous relaxations



Why is IDAES Developing Expansion Planning Models?

- Integrated Energy Systems must be designed for the system
 - Designing in isolation (e.g., "max efficiency") does not guarantee participation / revenue from the market
- Existing expansion planning models focus primarily on *capacity*
 - Operability (e.g., the role of dynamics, flexibility, and uncertainty) is not explicitly included, leading to results that overvalue LCOE and undervalue dispatchability and flexibility
 - New and diverse set of technologies needed to reach decarbonization goals
 - Advanced algorithms required to solve new, challenging problems
- Extending expansion planning models is more than just adding features
 - Scaling up the model requires exploring new algorithmic approaches to solving the model. Model is open, allowing for customization for the problem you are interested in addressing



Solving Problems that Represent Today's Challenges

Improved capabilities in models (e.g. reliability)

Begin with smaller, **less complex models** (smaller regions/ time-scales)

Improved capability to

problems on complex models

address challenging

Improve/ develop new algorithms to address convergence challenges



ISO/RTO Scale Problem

Perificary Perificary BAC Perificary BAC Perificary BAC Perificary Marcina Antonio Riski Perificary BAC Perificary Marcina Antonio Riski Perificary BAC Perificary Marcina Antonio Riski Perificary BAC Perificary

IDAES

San Diego County Case Study

1 Wind turbine

NG plant

Existing transmis

Accounting for Intermittency and Volatility

- "Non-representative" capacity and ramp scenarios critical in understanding dispatchable unit requirements
- Modified algorithm provides insights into low renewable capacity and/or rapid dispatchable ramp scenarios
 - Lazy capacity constraints
 - Extreme ramp events





- "Representative Days Only" underestimates total required capacity
- More dispatchable capacity required with additional capacity constraints and ramp events

Current IDAES Expansion Planning Activities

- Develop reliability models and algorithms (Carnegie Mellon University, Seolhee Cho and Ignacio E. Grossmann)
 - Improve valuation of flexibility
 - Incorporate resilience with reliability
 - Expand to new case studies (partnering with California Energy Commission)



- Model maturation (Sandia National Laboratory)
 - Generalizing / standardizing the models, leveraging standardizing modeling components from EGRET
 - Generalizing / standardizing algorithms (remove explicit ties to case studies)

Presentation Overview

Provide details of the IDAES collaboration with California Energy Commission (CEC)

- What is Expansion Planning, why is it challenging, and why is IDAES working on it?
- Problems facing the CEC in meeting clean energy goals
- Case study of San Diego County



Planning California's Clean Energy Future

California is leading the clean energy revolution:

- California has a plan to manage the transition to clean energy
- Changing climate driving more frequent and extreme weather events
- California is creating modern rules to build a modern electrical grid

Goals set, goals met



33% renewable energy



Reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels



1.5 million zero-emission vehicles sold









California is on track to achieve 100% clean electricity

with 59% of the state's electricity already coming from renewable and zero-carbon resources



California's growing battery storage capacity captures the state's abundant renewable resources



*Projected as of June 1, 2023 based on California ISO interconnection queue.



To provide 100% clean electricity by 2045,

California will build an unprecedented amount of new utility-scale clean energy resources

Totals represent new and existing resources. The 2021 SB 100 Joint Agency Report projects the need for 148,000 MW of new resources by 2045.

In addition, California also expects new capacity from energy efficiency, customer solar and demand response.







California's Clean Energy Future Depends Upon State of the Art Tools

The IDAES collaboration is delivering this much needed tooling.

Challenges with the available Capacity Expansion Modeling (CEM) tools commonly found in use:

- Ignore battery state-of-charge, diurnal and multi-period storage value dynamics
- Static network representations
- Fixed loads

Enhanced CEM capabilities will meet critical needs, especially around these modeling objectives:

- Advanced storage technology representations, across broader time scales
- Transmission availability / expansion; dynamic network topologies
- Flexible load representations; incorporating drivers of load uncertainty
- Explicit modeling of System Reliability and resilience.



Presentation Overview

Provide details of the IDAES collaboration with California Energy Commission (CEC)

- What is Expansion Planning, why is it challenging, and why is IDAES working on it?
- Problems facing the CEC in meeting clean energy goals
- Case study of San Diego County



How to Improve Reliability in Expansion Planning

- *Reliability* An ability to supply uninterrupted power to customers to satisfy the load demand.
- Adding extra generators, batteries, and transmission lines can improve the reliability of power systems.
- In case some facilities fail, other connected facilities can replace the workload of failed facilities to minimize power loss.
- It should optimize where, when, and what type and size of facilities should be added to satisfy the load demand while improving reliability at a minimum cost.



Reliability ↑



How to Evaluate Reliability – This work



Electricity (MW)

1) LOLE[#] (Loss of Load Expectation, unit: *hours*): time of not satisfying the load demand

 $LOLE = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k t_k$ p_k : Probability of capacity failure state k t_k : Outage time of capacity failure state k

EENS^{*} (Expected Energy Not Served, unit: *MWh*): the 2) amount of demand that the system cannot satisfy

 $EENS = \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k E_k$ E_k: Unserved energy in capacity failure state k

LOLE & EENS $\downarrow \rightarrow$ Power System Reliability \uparrow

Overview of optimization model

Generalized Disjunctive Programming (GDP) model

Min Cost = CAPEX + OPEX + Load shedding penalty

s.t.

Investment constraints

- Installation/lifetime extension of dispatchable generators
- Installation/capacity expansion of renewable generators and battery
- Installation of transmission lines

Operation constraints

- Ramping up/down, start-up/shut-down, and **unit commitment**
- Charging/discharging levels of storage
- DC power flow and power balance
- Fuel consumption and CO₂ emission estimation
- Simplified loss of load expectation (LOLE) and expected energy not served (EENS) estimation
- CO₂ emission limit
- Minimum share of renewable generation



|--|



Resource & Technology Status of San Diego County in 2021



Case Study: Representation of San Diego County



- ✓ Horizon: **10-year planning**
- ✓ 3 representative days and 24 hours for each day
- ✓ Size: 4 nodes
 - Demand and supply nodes
 - Supply-only nodes

Assumptions

- Generator types: NG (Simple cycle), NGCC (w/o CCS), NGCC (w/ CCS), Wind turbine, PV, and Liion battery.
- ✓ Supply-only nodes (green circle) can only install renewable generator and <u>batteries</u>.
- Dispatchable generators in demand and supply nodes (red circle) can be extended, dispatchable generators (w/ and w/o CCS) can be installed, and renewable generators can be installed.
- Distance between nodes is estimated by measuring the distance between centers of each node.

Technology data (investment and operating costs, size, emission rate) is obtained from NETL and NREL, IRENA report.

CEC Case Study: Reliable and Carbon-neutral Power Systems

California Policy and Regulatory Environment ^[6,7]	Scenario #1	Scenario #2	Scenario #3
CO ₂ emission limits (30% reduction by Y10)	X	0	0
Renewable generation (60% of the total generation by Y10)	Х	Х	0

* All scenarios are forced to satisfy the target reliability level.





[5] California Peaker Power Plants: Energy Storage Replacement Opportunities, PSE Healthy Energy, 2020 [6] Greenhouse Gas Emission Tracking Report December 2021, California ISO, 2021

Future Work

- Continue collaboration with the California Energy Commission
 - Examining impacts of different methods of representing energy storage in expansion planning models, specifically in how it impacts reliability
 - Improve algorithms to increase tractability in solving more complex problems (spatially and temporally) while considering reliability
- Advancing capabilities in Expansion Planning Models
 - Improving integration with EGRET, generate plausible future detailed grids for validation
 - Enhance technology selection, centralized around determining least-cost paths to decarbonization (CDR technologies, renewables, point-source CCS)



Acknowledgements

The IDAES team gratefully acknowledges support from the U.S. DOE's Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management through the Solid Oxide Fuel Cell and Simulation-Based Engineering Research Programs.

National Energy Technology Laboratory: David Miller, Tony Burgard, Benjamin Omell, Steve Zitney, John Eslick, Andrew Lee, Miguel Zamarripa, Jinliang Ma, Jaffer Ghouse, Chinedu Okoli, Arun Iyengar, Anca Ostace, Anuja Deshpande, Alex Noring, Naresh Susarla, Radhakrishna Gooty, Doug Allen, Ryan Hughes, Andres Calderon, Brandon Paul, Adam Atia, John Brewer, Nadejda Victor, Maojian Wang, Peng Liu

Sandia National Laboratories: John Siirola, Bethany Nicholson, Michael Bynum, Jordan Jalving, Emma Johnson, Katherine Klise, Shawn Martin, Miranda Mundt, Edna Soraya Rawlings, Kyle Skolfield

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory: Deb Agarwal, Dan Gunter, Keith Beattie, John Shinn, Hamdy Elgammal, Joshua Boverhof, Karen Whitenack, Oluwamayowa Amusat

Carnegie Mellon University: Larry Biegler, Chrysanthos Gounaris, Ignacio Grossmann, Carl Laird, John Eason, Owais Sarwar, Natalie Isenberg, Chris Hanselman, Marissa Engle, Qi Chen, Cristiana Lara, Robert Parker, Ben Sauk, Vibhav Dabadghao, Can Li, David Molina Thierry, Mingrui Li, Seolhee Cho, Georgia Stinchfield, Jason Sherman

West Virginia University: Debangsu Bhattacharyya, Paul Akula, Quang-Minh Le, Nishant Giridhar, Matthew Alastanos

University of Notre Dame: Alexander Dowling, Xian Gao, Nicole Cortes **Georgia Tech**: Nick Sahinidis, Yijiang Li, Selin Bayramoglu



2023 IDAES Technical Team Meeting, Lawrence Berkeley National Lab



Disclaimer: This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors, or their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, any agency thereof, or any of their contractory (LBNL) is managed and operated by the University of California (UC) under U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525.