

IDAES Integrated Platform for Multi-Scale Modeling and Optimization

Eva Rodezno, Tony Burgard, and Debangsu Bhattacharyya

September 18, 2024





IDAES Overview

- IDAES is an open-source, equation-oriented software platform, written in Pyomo, that enables the design and optimization of multi-scale, dynamic, interacting technologies and systems.
- <u>Goal</u>: Accelerate design & deployment of integrated power, H₂, and industrial processes to support broad decarbonization and emerging R&D priorities.

<u>Major Focus Areas</u>:

- 1. Growing the user base in strategic areas
- 2. Ensuring that existing projects leveraging IDAES are successful
- 3. Continuing to build out advanced capabilities



Several Modeling Collaborations Now Leverage IDAES





IDAES New Capability Development

- Diagnostics, scaling, and visualization advances
 - Tomorrow, 8:30 AM, Scaling and Diagnostics
 - Poster, Model Diagnostics for EO Models: Roadblocks and Path Forward
 - Tomorrow, 11:30 AM, IDAES Flowsheet Visualizer; WaterTAP/PrOMMiS GUI's
- AI/ML approaches to improving solution algorithms
 - Tomorrow, 4:00 PM, AI/ML Approaches to MIPs
- Infrastructure planning of reliable & carbon-neutral power systems
 - Today, 4:00 PM, Expansion Planning of Reliable & Carbon Neutral Power ...
 - Poster, Optimization Model and Solution Strategy for Infrastructure Planning ...
 - Tomorrow, 4:30 PM, Flexible Environments for Generator and Transmission Planning (GTEP) Analysis



IDAES New Capability Development

Integrating manufacturing considerations into process design

Integrated process market optimization of power and H₂ systems

Dynamics, control, health modeling & optimization of power & H₂ systems



Integration of Manufacturing Considerations into Process Family Design

Objective

Develop a framework for simultaneously designing a family of process variants with different design requirements, while simultaneously optimizing the use of shared sub-components/unit operations.

Why does this matter?

Reduces both deployment times (since fewer units will require custom design & fabrication) and manufacturing costs (by exploiting economies of learning since we produce a larger # of each of the units)







Case Study: MEA Carbon Capture

Successfully designed 63 carbon capture systems using only 3 optimally designed absorbers & strippers



Stinchfield, et. al., Computers & Chemical Engineering, 2024



Recent Advances

- Improved formulation explicitly includes ۲ economies of numbers
 - User no longer needs to specify the size of the platform
 - Optimizes both the number and characteristics of common components



4 unique absorber & stripper sizes led to a 26.8% capital cost savings

Case Study: MEA Carbon Capture



mol.)

%



Recent Advances

• Decomposition approach enabled simultaneous design of 10,000 variants!

Case Study: Water Desalination





IDAES New Capability Development

Integrating manufacturing considerations into process design

Integrated process market optimization of power and H₂ systems

Dynamics, control, health modeling & optimization of power & H₂ systems



Integrated Process Market Optimization of Power and H₂ Systems

Objective

Develop a framework for quantitatively evaluating the value propositions of integrated energy systems in several electricity markets.

Why does this matter?

- Allows meaningful and direct comparisons of IES technology options when traditional metrics (e.g., LCOE, LCOH, cost of capture) are not fully sufficient
- Useful for setting R&D performance targets

Recent Accomplishment

• Streamlined workflow reduces set-up time from months to days.



FOCAPD paper:

Laky, et. al., Systems & Controls Transactions, 2024

Tomorrow, 9:30 AM, Multi-Period Optimization for Process Design and Market Integration



Demonstrating the Value Proposition of Flexible Power/H₂



Are there plausible electricity market scenarios where an integrated system makes sense? If so, which system is the best?





Eslick, et. al., DOE/NETL-2023/4322

Analysis of Flexible Power and H₂ Systems

- 61 total data sets (every hour for a year)
 - 2019 & 2022: ERCOT, ISO_NE, MISO, PJM, SPP, NYISO
 - Future projections from NREL and Princeton from ARPA-E FLECCS program
 - Future projections from NETL for ERCOT using PROMOD IV

Data sets cover very broad range of potential scenarios







Flexible Power/H₂ Systems Outperform Single Product Systems

% of Electricity Market Scenarios with Positive Annualized Profit

CO ₂ Capture > 97%	H ₂ Selling Price				
Process Concept	\$1.5/kg	\$2.0/kg	\$2.5/kg	\$3.0/kg	
NGCC	13%				
SOFC	54%				
SOEC	49%	• 74%	87%	98%	
NGCC + SOEC	_ 11%	_ 16%	62%	80%	
rSOC	• 77%	97%	• 100%	• 100%	
SOFC + SOEC	• 79%	98%	100%	100%	

Laky, et. al., 2024, *paper under review* **Poster, Daniel Laky**

 Integrated power/H₂ systems are far more robust to electricity market assumptions.

There is a compelling value proposition!

if ...

• They can safely switch between operating modes without causing excessive degradation over long-time operation.



IDAES New Capability Development

Integrating manufacturing considerations into process design

Integrated process market optimization of power and H₂ systems

Dynamics, control, health modeling & optimization of power & H₂ systems



Dynamic Model of SOC-based System for Mode-Switching

- SOC dynamic model (Bhattacharyya et al., 2007, Li et al., 2024)
 - First-principles, non-isothermal, planar cell
 - 2D electrodes, electrolyte, and interconnect
 - 1D fuel and oxygen channels
 - Operates in fuel cell and electrolysis modes
- Dynamic SOC-based system model (Allan et al., 2023, Li et al., 2024)
 - Now publicly available online
 - Soon to be merged into the IDAES examples repository
 - H₂ fueled in fuel cell mode
 - Vent gas recirculation with purge
 - **Condenser** to remove water from H_2 -side off-gas
 - Equipment models for thermal management
 - 1D multi-pass crossflow recuperative heat exchangers
 - 1D crossflow trim heaters
 - Bhattacharyya et al., Chem Eng Sci, 62, 4250-4267 (2007).





 Li M, Allan D A, Dinh S, Bhattacharyya D, Dabadghao V, Giridhar N, Zitney S E, Biegler L T, "NMPC for mode-switching operation of reversible solid oxide cell systems", e18550, 1-12, AICHE Journal, 2024



Block flow diagram of H₂-fueled SOC-based IES for Mode-Switching Operation

Chemical Degradation



SOEC Microstructure Chemical Degradation Modeling

Fuel electrode nickel (Ni) agglomeration

- Ni particles grow with time under high temperature operation
- Ni₂OH formation drives the process
- Surface-diffusion Ostwald ripening

$$\frac{d(\overline{d_{Ni}})}{dt} = C \frac{X_{Ni}}{X_{YSZ}A_{YSZ}\overline{d_{Ni}^6}} \left(\frac{Y_{H_2O}}{Y_{H_2}^{0.5}}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{E_a}{RT}\right)$$

Refs: J. Sehested et al. / *Applied Catalysis A*: General 309 (2006) 237–246

YSZ electrolyte phase transformation

- Phase transformation of YSZ from cubic to tetragonal structure
- Results in decrease in electrolyte conductivity

$$\sigma_{El} = \sigma_{El,0} \left[\lambda + (1 - \lambda) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau}\right) \right]$$

Refs: Jiang et al. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society* 82(11):3057 - 3064







Giridhar N, Zitney S E, Allan D, Li M, Biegler L T, Bhattacharyya D, "Optimal Operation of Solid-Oxide Electrolysis Cells Considering Long-Term Chemical Degradation", 319, 118950, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2024

Optimizing Multi-Scale Systems





Long-Term Economic Optimization of SOEC Systems





Key Results

	Electricity Price	= 0.03 \$ /kWh			
Operating Profile	Objective Function	Stack Replacement Schedule	Voltage Degradation Rate	Specific Energy Consumption	LCOH
		(years)	(%/khr)	(kWh/kg H2)	(\$/kg H2)
	Minimize Terminal Degradation	5	1.22	40.78	2.00
Galvanostatic Operation	Maximize Integral Efficiency	2	3.17	35.65	2.29
	Minimize LCOH	5	2.63	38.45	1.93
Potentiostatic Operation	Minimize Terminal Degradation	3	2.83	39.26	2.11
	Maximize Integral Efficiency	2	3.09	35.65	2.30
	Minimize LCOH	3	2.95	35.64	2.05
	Minimize Terminal Degradation	5	1.15	40.80	1.99
Flexible Operation	Maximize Integral Efficiency	3	3.38	36.44	2.02
	Minimize LCOH	5	3.00	38.30	1.92
	Electricity Pric	ce = 0.3 \$/kWh			
Operating Profile	Objective Function	Stack Replacement Schedule	Voltage Degradation Rate	Specific Energy Consumption	LCOH
		(years)	(%/khr)	(kWh/kg H2)	(\$/kg H2)
	Minimize Terminal Degradation	5	1.22	40.78	13.00
Galvanostatic Operation	Maximize Integral Efficiency	2	3.17	35.65	11.92
	Minimize LCOH	2.5	3.38	36.02	11.84
	Minimize Terminal Degradation	3	2.83	39.26	12.51
Potentiostatic Operation	Maximize Integral Efficiency	2	3.09	35.65	11.93
	Minimize LCOH	2	3.06	35.64	11.91
	Minimize Terminal Degradation	5	1.15	40.80	13.01
Flexible Operation	Maximize Integral Efficiency	3	3.38	36.44	11.78
	Minimize LCOH	(2.5)	4.08	35.82	11.78

Giridhar N, Zitney S E, Allan D, Li M, Biegler L T, Bhattacharyya D, "Optimal Operation of Solid-Oxide Electrolysis Cells Considering Long-Term Chemical Degradation", 319, 118950, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2024



Physical Degradation

Thermal stresses and creep deformation under dynamic operation



Thermal Stress

Zero-stress condition





IDAES Institute for the Design Advanced Energy System

Dynamic Optimization with Penalty for Deviations from Initial Stress Profile



Failure Probability Analysis



- Penalizing residual stresses during cycling operation can significantly improve stack lifetimes at the expense of a moderate decrease in operating efficiency.
- The approach can enable stack cycling, reduction in capital expense and improved reliability.



Process Control



Process Control for SOC-based System Mode-Switching

- Classical Control: Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID)
- Nonlinear Model Predictive Control (NMPC)



Controller	Manipulated Variables (MVs)	Controlled Variables (CVs)	
PID, NMPC	Cell potential	Outlet Water Concentration	
PID, NMPC	Steam/H ₂ feed rate	H_2 production rate	
PID, NMPC	Feed heater duty	Feed heater outlet	
PID, NMPC	Sweep heater duty	Sweep heater outlet temperature	
PID, NMPC	Steam heater outlet temperature setpoint*	SOC steam outlet 🕂 temperature	
PID, NMPC	Sweep heater outlet temperature setpoint*	SOC sweep outlet 🛑 temperature	
PID, NMPC	Sweep feed rate	SOC temperature 🔶	
NMPC	Feed recycle ratio		
NMPC	Sweep recycle ratio		
NMPC	Vent gas recirculation (VGR) recycle ratio		
NMPC	$H_{2/}H_2O$ ratio in make-up		





• Allan, D.A., et al., In Proc. FOCAPO/CPC (2023).

• Dabadghao, V., Ph.D. Thesis, CMU (2023).

Reinforcement Learning for Process Control

- Reinforcement learning (RL) is a machine learning method that learns from active sampling of system performance
- Learning based on a value function and/or a control policy
 - Algorithms with a fixed policy focused on learning a value function given the fixed policy (e.g., Q-Learning, SARSA)
 - Algorithms where the policy is learned with a value function actor-critic methods; parameterized policy and value function used for control
 - General goal is to maximize expected sum of rewards:







Beahr, D., Bhattacharyya, D., Allan, D. A., & Zitney, S. E. (2024). Development of algorithms for augmenting and replacing conventional process control using reinforcement learning. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, *190*, 108826. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2024.108826



Conclusions

- Long-term SOEC optimization considering chemical degradation can be used to optimize stack replacement schedule and operating trajectory.
- Dynamic optimization considering physical degradation can be used to optimize SOEC operating trajectories to satisfy spatio-temporal stress constraints without much sacrifice in the overall efficiency.
- RL can learn by itself, and from human operators and/or existing conventional controllers and can continuously adapt for superior control performance.
- AI/ML tools in IDAES can be used by themselves or hybridized with rigorous mechanistic models for optimal schedule, design, operation, and decision making.
- Poster: Optimal Schedule, Design, and Operation of Solid Oxide Electrolysis Cell Systems Accounting for Long-Term Performance and Health Degradation (by Nishant Giridhar)
- Tomorrow, 10:00 AM, Dynamic Flowsheeting (by Doug Allen)



Summary

- IDAES is currently supporting several collaborative modeling partnerships aimed at addressing major national and DOE priorities.
- Significant progress has been made towards developing, documenting, and disseminating the next round of foundational capabilities:
 - Advanced diagnostics, scaling, and visualization tools
 - Expansion planning w/ reliability considerations
 - AI/ML approaches to improving MIP solution algorithms
 - Process family design
 - Process/market co-optimization
 - Health modeling, control & dynamic optimization
- IDAES is particularly well-suited to evaluating & designing complex, multi-scale dynamic systems



Acknowledgements

The IDAES team gratefully acknowledges support from the U.S. DOE's Hydrogen with Carbon Management and Simulation-Based Engineering Research Programs.

Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management: Eva Rodezno, Robert Schrecengost

National Energy Technology Laboratory: David Miller, Tony Burgard, Benjamin Omell, Steve Zitney, John Eslick, Andrew Lee, Miguel Zamarripa, Jinliang Ma, Jaffer Ghouse, Chinedu Okoli, Arun Iyengar, Anca Ostace, Anuja Deshpande, Alex Noring, Naresh Susarla, Radhakrishna Gooty, Doug Allen, Ryan Hughes, Andres Calderon, Brandon Paul, Adam Atia, John Brewer, Nadejda Victor, Maojian Wang, Peng Liu, Sydni Credle, Jason

Hissam, Eric Liese, Nate Weiland, MaryAnn Clarke, John Crane

Sandia National Laboratories: John Siirola, Bethany Nicholson, Michael Bynum, Jordan Jalving, Emma Johnson, Katherine Klise, Shawn Martin, Miranda Mundt, Edna Soraya Rawlings, Kyle Skolfield

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory: Deb Agarwal, Dan Gunter, Keith Beattie, John Shinn, Hamdy Elgammal, Joshua Boverhof, Karen Whitenack, Oluwamayowa Amusat, Sarah Poon

Carnegie Mellon University: Larry Biegler, Chrysanthos Gounaris, Ignacio Grossmann, Carl Laird, John Eason, Owais Sarwar, Natalie Isenberg, Chris Hanselman, Marissa Engle, Qi Chen, Cristiana Lara, Robert Parker, Ben Sauk, Vibhav Dabadghao, Can Li, David Molina Thierry, Mingrui Li, Seolhee Cho, Georgia Stinchfield, Jason Sherman, San Dinh

West Virginia University: Debangsu Bhattacharyya, Paul Akula, Quang-Minh Le, Nishant Giridhar, Matthew Alastanos, Daniel Beahr

University of Notre Dame: Alex Dowling, Xian Gao, Xinhe Chen, Nicole Cortes, Daniel Laky

Georgia Tech: Nick Sahinidis, Yijiang Li, Selin Bayramoglu



2024 Joint IDAES/CCSI₂/PrOMMiS Technical Team Meeting Lawrence Berkeley National Lab

Register as Stakeholder or User:

https://idaes.org/about/contact-us/

