

# **PROMMIS**

**Process Optimization and Modeling** for Minerals Sustainability

- **The optimal pathway was found to consist of shredding, copper nitrate dissolution, and oxalic acid precipitation. It never changed during any of the sensitivity analyses.**
- **Results show that the venture considering HDDs from PCs is likely not profitable as there is not enough REPM available for recycling from EOL HDDs at the given projected REO prices.**
- **Expanding the plant to process multiple feedstocks or introducing multiple agents to handle**

**different processing steps may make the venture profitable.**

### **Hard Disk Drives (HDDs) are a Potential Source of REEs**

# **Future Work**

### **References**

**[1]** Blast U, Blank R, Buchert M, Elwert T, Finsterwalder F, Hornig G, Klier T, Langkau S, Marscheider-Weidemann F, Muller JO, Thurigen CH, Treffer F, Walter T. Recycling von komponenten und strategischen metallen aus elektrischen fahrantrieben: kennwort: MORE (Motor Recycling) (2015) **[2]** Dias PA, Bobba S, Carrara S, Plazzotta B. The role of rare earth elements in wind energy and electric mobility: an analysis of future supply/demand balances (2020) **[3]** Sprecher B, Kleijn R, Kramer GJ. The case of computer hard disk drives. *Environ Sci Technol* 48, 16:9506-9513 (2014) **[4]** NREL.<https://www.nrel.gov/news/program/2021/in-a-circular-economy-hard-drives-could-have-multiple-lives-in-the-future.html> [5] EPA. https://www.epa.gov/vcs/using-standards-promote-reuse-rare-earth-mater **[6]** CMI. [https://www.ameslab.gov/news/it-s-all-part-of-the-grind-cmi-s-new-hard-drive-shredder-serves-up-plenty-of-material](https://www.ameslab.gov/news/it-s-all-part-of-the-grind-cmi-s-new-hard-drive-shredder-serves-up-plenty-of-material-for#:%7E:text=The%20Critical%20Materials%20Institute%20specializes,motors%2C%20and%20wind%20turbine%20generators)[for#:~:text=The%20Critical%20Materials%20Institute%20specializes,motors%2C%20and%20wind%20turbine%20generators](https://www.ameslab.gov/news/it-s-all-part-of-the-grind-cmi-s-new-hard-drive-shredder-serves-up-plenty-of-material-for#:%7E:text=The%20Critical%20Materials%20Institute%20specializes,motors%2C%20and%20wind%20turbine%20generators) **[7]** Statista. <https://www.statista.com/statistics/273495/global-shipments-of-personal-computers-since-2006/> tps://www.statista.com/outlook/cmo/consumer-electronics/computing/desktop-pcs/united-states?currency=USD&locale=en#revenue **[9]** Peeters JR, Bracqguene E, Nelen D, Ueberschaar M, Van Acker K, Duflou JR. Forecasting the recycling potential based on waste analysis: a case study for recycling Nd-Fe-B magnets from hard disk drives. *J of Clean Prod* 175:96-108 (2018) **[10]** Peiró LT, Girón AC, Durany XG. Examining the feasibility of the urban mining of hard disk drives. *J Clean Prod* 248:119-216 (2020) **[11]** Walton A, Yi H, Rowson NA, Speight JD, Mann VSJ, Sheridan RS, Bradshaw A, Harris IR, Williams AJ. The use of hydrogen to separate and recycle neodymiumiron-boron-type magnets from electronic waste. *J Clean Prod* 104: 236-241 (2015) **[12]** Lyman JW, Palmer GR. Recycling of rare earth and iron from NdFeB magnet scrap. High Temp Mater and Process 11: 175-187 (1993) **[13]** Nawab A, Yang X, Honaker R. Parametric study and speciation analysis of rare earth precipitation using oxalic acid in a chloride solution system. *Miner Eng* 176: 107352 (2022) **[14]** Azimi G, Sauber ME, Zhang J. Technoeconomic analysis of supercritical fluid extraction processes for recycling rare earth elements from neodymium iron boron magnets and fluorescent lamp phosphors. J Clean Prod 422: 138526 (2023) **[15]** Chowdhury NA, Deng S, Jin H, Prodius D, Sutherland JW, Nlebedim IC. Sustainable recycling of rare-earth elements from NdFeB magnet swarf: techno-economic and environmental perspectives. ACS Sustain Chem Eng 0: 15915-15924 (2021) **[16]** Hykawy J, Chudnovsky T.<https://www.stormcrow.ca/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/20210308-Stormcrow-UCore-Initiation-Final.pdf>

**Disclaimer:** This project was funded by the Department of Energy, National Energy Technology Laboratory an agency of the United States Government, through a support contract. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of its employees, nor the support contractor, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressor implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or any of their contractors. The Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) is managed and operated by the University of California (UC) under U.S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC., a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525.

# **Acknowledgment & Contact**

Contact: Ana I. Torres, [aitorres@cmu.edu](mailto:aitorres@cmu.edu)

**Acknowledgment:** This effort was funded by the U.S. Department of Energy's Process Optimization and Modeling for Minerals Sustainability (PrOMMiS) Initiative, supported by the Office of Fossil Energy and Carbon Management's Office of Resource Sustainability.

- **Further optimize the acid-free dissolution extraction process.**
- **Utilize the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) framework to consider environmental impacts in the objective function.**

#### **Simplified scheme of the superstructure9-15.**

**Aspen Plus model for the hydrometallurgical extraction process node12.**

 $F_{j-1,c,t}$ 

### **Disclaimer**

**Option** k=k

**Option** k=k

 **Plant installed in 2024, operation runs from 2025 through 2038. EXA PREO prices were taken from literature<sup>16</sup>.** 



**Chris Laliwala and Ana I. Torres**

*Department of Chemical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University* 

# **Design and Optimization of Processes for Recovering Rare Earth Elements from End-of-Life Hard Disk Drives**

 **HDDs, which were used in all laptops and desktops until 2013, are receiving increasing attention in the U.S. as a potential source of REEs as evidenced by the work of agencies and national laboratories such as:** 

- **The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL)4.**
- **The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)5.**
- **The Critical Minerals Institute (CMI)6.**

**120**



# $OPEX_{j=dis. stage, k} = \sum UNU5^{j,k}$

 **Consumer laptops and desktops assumed to have an 8-year lifespan.**

- **Laptops assumed to have 2.5" HDDs which contain 2.5g of REPM3.**
- **Desktops assumed to have 3.5" HDDs, REPM linearly correlated to when HDD was produced3:**

 $17.87 - 0.35t$   $(t = 0 \text{ @ } 1990)$ 

**REPM Nd** 





**~360%, suggesting that insufficient EOL HDDs are generated during production for the process to be profitable.**

 **The NPV break-even point was found to occur at ~168%, suggesting that the REO projected price would have to be significantly higher than the base case for the process to be profitable.**



#### **The NPV break-even point occurred at Collection Rate Initial Collection Rate The NPV break-even point occurred at ~148%, suggesting that not enough EOL**

### **Long term goal: design a feedstock agnostic process to recover rare earth oxides (REOs) from EOL products.**



**HDDs were generated before the start of** 

**production for the process to be** 

**profitable.**

### **Logical Constraints**

**s.t. Mass Balance Constraints**

### **Costing Constraints**



k=1

**Option** 

 $k=2$ 

Option k=1

Option k=2

k=k

**Stage j Stage** 

**Option**  $k=1$ 

**j+1**

**Option**  $k=2$ 

**Option** 

# **Optimization Problem**

**max z = Net Present Value (NPV)**

 **Linear relations from literature or Aspen Plus simulations**

- **Only one technology selected per stage**
- **If/then constraints to set available options**

 **Disassembly stage: CAPEX and OPEX calculated using discrete units**

$$
Units^{j,k} = \left[ \sum_{c} \varphi^{EVs, t_{max}} * \frac{1}{rate^{j,k}} \right]
$$
  

$$
CAPEX_{j=dis. stage, k} = \sum_{k} Units^{j,k} * CU^{j,k} * y_{j,k}
$$

- **Rest of the stages:**
	- **- OPEX assumed to vary linearly with inlet flow**
	- **- CAPEX: piecewise linear**
	- **- Data from literature or Aspen Process Economic Analyzer**

### **Conclusion**